THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTIONS TRIBUNAL
FOR THE LUSAKA DISTRICT
HOLDEN AT LUSAKA.
[Civil Jurisdiction]

2026/EP/LGET/001

IN THE MATTER OF: SECTIONS 71 ,72(1) (B), 96(1)(C)(I) 98(C) AND 100 (1) (B) OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS ACT 2016 AS AMENDED BY ACT NO.12 OF 2026

AND

IN THE MATTER OF: THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRIBUNAL RULES 2026 STATUTORY INSTRUMENT NO.32 OF 2026)

AND

IN THE MATTER OF: LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTION FOR MAYOR FOR THE CITY OF LUSAKA ON THE 13TH AUGUST,2026.

BETWEEN

GABRIEL ISAAC KIBOMBWE — PETITIONER

AND

MWEWA CHITAMBALA — 1ST RESPONDENT

ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF ZAMBIA — 2ND RESPONDENT

ELECTION PETITION

ELECTION FOR MAYOR FOR THE CITY OF LUSAKA HELD ON THE 13TH DAY OF AUGUST,2026.

The Petition of **GABRIEL ISAAC KIBOMBWE** whose address for service is 23 Fisi Street New Kabwata Lusaka shows that:

1. Your petitioner is a person who was a candidate at the aforesaid election.
2. And your petitioner states that the election was held on the 13th day of August,2026 when **BANDA NAMUCHIYA, KABOLE CHRISPINE,KIBOMBWE GABRIEL I,MWEWA CHITAMBALA,MWILA SIMON AND NYIRENDA DAVID** were candidates and the returning officer declared MWEWA CHITAMBALA as being duly elected.
3. And your petitioner says that;

(a) the declaration of the 1st Respondent was made upon a tally of votes that was incorrect as a number of official records by the 2nd Respondent from polling stations show materially different results, and in favour of the Petitioner, from those declared at the totaling centre in favour of the 1st Respondent;

(b) the electoral process was violated such that it deprived your petitioner full participation in the electoral process, particularly during the results verification. Your petitioner was denied access to the full record of proceedings, particularly after the hours long suspension of counting and totaling was lifted and your petitioner was denied access to the proceedings in Chawama and Kanyama Constituencies. Furthermore, despite records of proceedings and final announcement which areas gave rise to material inconsistences between the results tallied as polling centres and those declared by the 2nd Respondent; and

(c) the true tally of the election results show that the Petitioner polled more votes that the 1st Respondent, contrary to the results announced by the 2nd Respondent.

WHEREOF your petitioner prays that it may be determined that the said MWEWA CHITAMBALA was not duly elected and that the declaration was void and that your Petitioner was duly elected.

4. And your petitioner prays that:

(a) based on the correct arithmetic analysis the election of the 1st Respondent be declared void; and the Petitioner be declared duly elected.

.....

GABRIEL ISAAC KIBOMBWE

PETITIONER

Drawn by: _____

MESSRS MUNATI CHAMBERS
Plot No. 11, Nalikwanda Road
Woodlands
Email: info@munatilaw.com
LUSAKA
ADVOCATES FOR THE PETITIONER

To: The 1st Respondent
Mwewa Chitambala
Lusaka

To: The 2nd Respondent
Electoral Commission of Zambia
Elections House,
Haile Selassie Avenue,
Longacres,
Lusaka.

THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTIONS TRIBUNAL
FOR THE LUSAKA DISTRICT
HOLDEN AT LUSAKA.
[Civil Jurisdiction]

2026/...../.....

IN THE MATTER OF:	ARTICLE 159 (3) OF THE CONSTITUTION, CHAPTER 1 OF THE LAWS OF ZAMBIA
AND	
IN THE MATTER OF:	SECTIONS 71 ,72(1) (B), 96(1)(C)(I) 98(C) AND 100 (1) (B) OF THE ELECTORAL PROCESS ACT 2016 AS AMENDED BY ACT NO.12 OF 2026
AND	
IN THE MATTER OF:	THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT TRIBUNAL RULES 2026 STATUTORY INSTRUMENT NO.32 OF 2026)
AND	
IN THE MATTER OF:	LOCAL GOVERNMENT ELECTION FOR MAYOR FOR THE CITY OF LUSAKA ON THE 13TH AUGUST,2026.

BETWEEN

GABRIEL ISAAC KIBOMBWE	PETITIONER
AND	
MWEWA CHITAMBALA	1ST RESPONDENT
ELECTORAL COMMISSION OF ZAMBIA	2ND RESPONDENT

ELECTION PETITION

Drawn by: _____

MESSRS MUNATI CHAMBERS
Plot No. 11, Nalikwanda
Road
Woodlands
Email: info@munatilaw.com
LUSAKA
ADVOCATES FOR THE PETITIONER